

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

STANLEY WILLIAMS MCCOY,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 24-cv-11984

Hon. Matthew F. Leitman

v.

WAYNE T. JACKSON, *et al.*,

Defendants.

/

ORDER DISMISSING AMENDED
COMPLAINT (ECF No. 6) WITHOUT PREJUDICE

On July 31, 2024, Plaintiff Stanley William McCoy filed this action against Defendant Wayne T. Jackson and others. (*See* Compl., ECF No. 1.) McCoy then filed an Amended Complaint on September 3, 2024. (*See* Am. Compl., ECF No. 6.) Both the Complaint and Amended Complaint were filed using the Court’s *pro se* “Complaint for a Civil Case Form.” (*See id.*) But neither pleading contains any factual allegations or requests for relief of any kind. Indeed, in both pleadings, the “Statement of Claim,” “Relief,” and “Additional Information” sections of the form were left entirely blank. (*See* Compl., ECF No. 1, PageID.5-8; Am. Compl., ECF No. 6, PageID.58-60.) Nor do any of the attachments that McCoy has included with his pleadings provide any insight into what claims he may be bringing or against whom he seeks to bring those claims. Simply put, because McCoy has not made

any allegations against any Defendant nor requested any relief against any Defendant, he has not stated any claims in his Amended Complaint that the Court can adjudicate. Moreover, while McCoy says that this Court has subject-matter jurisdiction in this case based on the Court's "federal question" jurisdiction (*see* Am. Compl., ECF No. 6, PageID.56), because he has not made any allegations against any Defendant or identified any particular claims he seeks to bring against any Defendant, he has not provided any basis for the Court to conclude that it does, in fact, have subject-matter jurisdiction over his claims (whatever claims those may be).

For all of these reasons, because McCoy has not identified any specific claims against the Defendants, not pleaded any facts to support those claims, and not identified any relief that he seeks, the Court will **DISMISS** McCoy's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 6) **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Matthew F. Leitman
MATTHEW F. LEITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: October 7, 2024

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon the parties and/or counsel of record on October 7, 2024, by electronic means and/or ordinary mail.

s/Holly A. Ryan
Case Manager
(313) 234-5126